

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONTINUES OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

2	COMMISSIONERS		Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED
3	SUSAN BITTER SMITH – Chairman BOB STUMP		JAN 2 2 2015
5	BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE		DOCKETED BY NE
6 7 8 9	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO UPGRADE AN EXISTING CROSSING OF THE UNION I RAILROAD COMPANY AT AVONDALI BOULEVARD IN THE CITY OF AVONDARIZONA, AT USDOT NO. 741-799P.	PACIFIC E	DOCKET NO. RR-03639A-14-0315 DECISION NO. 74905 OPINION AND ORDER
10	DATE OF HEARING:	December	3, 2014
11	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, A	rizona
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Scott M. H	esla
13 14	APPEARANCES:	GENERAI	Redpath, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of a Department of Transportation;
15 16			ed Campbell, BEAUGUREAU, ZUKOWSKI OCK, on behalf of the Union Pacific Railroad and
17 18		Attorneys,	et Humphrey and Mr. Charles Hains, Staff Legal Division, on behalf of the Safety The Arizona Corporation Commission.
19 20	BY THE COMMISSION:		
21	* * *	* *	* * * * *
22	Having considered the entire reco	rd herein aı	nd being fully advised in the premises, the
23	Commission finds, concludes, and orders th	at:	
24	<u>FIN</u>	DINGS OF	FACT
25	<u>Procedural History</u>		
26	1. On August 27, 2014, the Arizona Department of Transportation ("ADOT") filed with		
27	the Arizona Corporation Commission ("C	Commission") an application for approval for the Union
28			

1

City of Avondale ("City"), Arizona, at USDOT No. 741-799P ("Application").

Pacific Railroad Company ("Railroad") to upgrade an existing crossing at Avondale Boulevard in the

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Exhibit S-1; Tr. at 6:12-7:2.

28

2. On September 12, 2014, a Procedural Order was issued establishing various filing dates and scheduling a hearing for December 3, 2014.

3. On October 23, 2014, ADOT filed documentation that it had provided public notice of the Application and hearing thereon.

4. On November 20, 2014, the Commission's Safety Division, Railroad Safety Section ("Staff") filed a Staff Memorandum recommending approval of the Application.

5. On December 3, 2014, a full public hearing was convened, as scheduled. ADOT, the Railroad, and Staff appeared through counsel. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

ADOT's Application

6. Prior to the filing of the Application, representatives from ADOT, the Maricopa County Department of Transportation ("MCDOT"), the City, the Railroad, and Staff participated in several diagnostic review meetings of the proposed improvements to the crossing. All parties who were present at these meetings were in agreement that the proposed improvements would improve safety at the crossing.²

In its Application, ADOT is seeking Commission approval to upgrade the existing at-7. grade crossing at Avondale Boulevard, a five lane paved roadway, which consists of two northbound through lanes, two southbound through lanes, and one left turn southbound lane.³ The crossing is currently equipped with automatic gates, flashing lights, bells, and a cantilever for southbound traffic only. The proposed improvements include installing two new automatic gates with LED flashing lights and two upgraded cantilevers with LED flashing lights on the outside curbs and two new automatic gates with LED flashing lights which will be located on a new raised median.5 Additionally, constant warning time circuitry, updated traffic signal preemption, and a new concrete

¹ Exhibit S-1.

DECISION NO.

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

1718

19 20

21

2223

24 | '8 E

25

26

20

27

28 16 Tr.

crossing surface will also be installed.⁶ MCDOT will reconstruct the sidewalks, widen the roadway by two additional lanes, improve the approaches to the crossing, install the raised median, and restripe the roadway in advance of the crossing.⁷

- 8. The proposed crossing upgrade was approved by the Commission in Decision No. 70481 (September 3, 2008) as part of the 2008 Annual Array to utilize federal funding pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 130 ("Section 130"). Once a crossing has been designated on an Annual Array, the Federal Highway Administration ("FHWA") issues authorizations for the design and construction of the project and then reimburses ADOT for the cost after conducting a final inspection of the project. Section 130 projects are used to eliminate hazards to pedestrians and highway vehicles at public railroad crossings which are not primarily for the benefit of the Railroad. 10
- 9. Mr. Jason Pike, ADOT's Railroad Safety Coordinator, testified in support of the Application.¹¹
 - 10. Mr. Pike testified that the project will utilize federal funding pursuant to Section 130.¹²
- 11. According to Mr. Pike, the proposed improvements are consistent with similar crossings located in Arizona.¹³
- 12. Testifying further, Mr. Pike estimated that construction on the project is expected to be completed within 15 months.¹⁴
- 13. Mr. Chris Watson, Assistant Supervisor of Railroad Safety for the Commission, testified that he prepared the Staff Memorandum which describes the nature of the proposed crossing improvements.¹⁵
- 14. According to Mr. Watson, the current warning devices at the crossing are inadequate because the flashing lights do not cover each traffic lane. Mr. Watson explained that safety

Exhibit S-1; Tr. at 6:12-7:2.
 Exhibit S-1; Tr. at 6:12-7; Tr. at 10:23-11:3; Tr. at 12:11-23.
 Exhibit S-1; Tr. at 7:24-8:6.

⁹ Exhibit S-1.

¹⁰ *Id*.
¹¹ Tr. at 6:9-14:1.

¹² Tr. at 7:24-8:2. ¹³ Tr. at 9:21-25.

¹⁴ Tr. at 13:11-17.

¹⁵ Tr. at 15:5-12. ¹⁶ Tr. at 17:14-24.

standards require a pair of flashing lights for each lane of traffic. 17 Mr. Watson testified that the 2 crossing will comply with all applicable state safety standards upon completion of the proposed upgrade.18 3 4 15. Mr. Watson and Mr. Pike both testified that the crossing is not a suitable candidate for 5 grade separation because the crossing does not meet any of the nine criteria utilized by the FHWA for consideration of grade separation.¹⁹ Additionally, Mr. Pike indicated that grade separation is cost 6 prohibitive due to the urbanized location of the crossing.²⁰ 7 8 16. According to Mr. Watson, the proposed crossing upgrade will improve the safety of 9 the crossing, is consistent with similar crossings located throughout Arizona, and is in the public interest.21 10 Staff recommends that the Application be approved.²² 11 17. 12 Staff's recommendation is reasonable and appropriate and should be adopted. 18. 13 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 14 19. The Commission has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the 15 Application pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-336, 40-337 and 16 40-337.01. 17 Notice of the Application was provided in accordance with the law. 20. 18 21. The installation of the crossing upgrade described in the Application is necessary for 19 the public's convenience and safety. 20 Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 40-336 and 40-337, the Application should be approved as 22. 21 recommended by Staff. 22 Upon completion of the crossing upgrade, the Union Pacific Railroad Company 23. should maintain the crossing in accordance with A.A.C. R14-5-104. 23 24 25 ¹⁷ Tr. at 17:14-24. 26 ¹⁹ Tr. at 16:20-17:3; Tr. at 9:12-20. 27

²¹ Tr. at 16:13-19; Tr. at 18:11-13.

²² Exhibit S-1; Tr. at 16:10-12; Tr. at 18:14-16.

28

1 **ORDER** 2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Arizona Department of Transportation's Application 3 is hereby approved. 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Union Pacific Railroad Company shall complete the 5 crossing upgrade as described in the Application within fifteen months of the effective date of this 6 Decision. 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Union Pacific Railroad Company shall notify the 8 Commission, in writing, within ten days of both the commencement and completion of the crossing 9 upgrade, pursuant to A.A.C. R14-5-104. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon completion of the crossing upgrade, the Union Pacific 11 Railroad Company shall maintain the crossing in compliance with A.A.C. R14-5-104. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 13 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 14 15 16 17 COMMISSIONER 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive 20 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 21 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this dav of 22 23 JODI JERICH 24 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 25 DISSENT 26 27 DISSENT 28 SMH:tv

DECISION NO.

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	
2	DOCKET NO.:	RR-03639A-14-0315	
3			
4	James R. Redpath Assistant Attorney General		
5	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
6	1 Hoeliix, Alizolia 85007		
7	Jason Pike Railroad and Utility Coordinator		
8	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPO 205 South 17 th Avenue, Room 357 MD 6181 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	RTATION E	
9			
10	W. Reed Campbell BEAUGUREAU, ZUKOWSKI & HANCOCK, P.C. 302 East Coronado Road		
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
12	Alex Popovici, Manager		
13	Industry & Public Projects UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY 631 South 7th Street	,	
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85034-2203		
15	City Attorney's Office City of Avondale		
16	GUST ROSENFELD, PLC		
17	1 E. Washington Street, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
18	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division		
19	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO 1200 West Washington Street	N	
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
21	Brian Lehman, Chief Railroad Safety Section of the Safety Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			